



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,208	03/30/2001	Allan Herrod	SYM-0625-1B	9472
7590 03/26/2004		EXAMINER		
Kenneth D'Alessandro			CASIANO, ANGEL L	
Sierra Patent Gr P.O. Box 6149	oup, Ltd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Stateline, NV	89449	• •	2182	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	#

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SL

	**			\sim			
4,1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/823,208	HERROD ET AL.	Ψ			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Angel L. Casiano	2182				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- treply received by the Office later than three months after the mail ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, ply within the statutory minimun d will apply and will expire SIX (tte, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	January 2004	·				
• —		is action is non-final.					
3)							
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-96 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-96 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ e drawing(s) be held in a ection is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received fority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	• •	_					
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 or No(s)/Mail Date	Pap	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/823,208

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

1. The present Office action is in response to Amendment filed 05 January 2004.

2. Claims 1-96 are pending.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a *single paragraph* on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Information corresponding to related applications (see Page 1, line 1) must be updated to indicate the current status.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

5. New corrected drawings are required in this application because Figure 4 has been amended in the communication submitted 05 January 2004.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,208

Art Unit: 2182

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-96 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-106 of U.S. Patent No. 6,237,053. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the cited patent claims systems, methods and devices directed to *hand-held* I/O devices. The present application is directed to *mobile* devices. It is well known in the art that hand-held devices are also mobile.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-96 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,208

Art Unit: 2182

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angel L. Casiano whose telephone number is 703-305-8301. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 703-308-3301. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

alc

19 March 2004.

JEFFNEY GAFFIN

THEY MOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 4